



In what is shaping up to be an [increasingly active term for judicial scrutiny of agency deference](#), the U.S. Supreme Court granted certiorari in *McLaughlin Chiropractic Assoc. v. McKesson Corp.*, No. 23-1226 (U.S. Oct. 4, 2024)—a case which appears primed to address how much deference federal courts must give to agency interpretations of the law. At issue in *McLaughlin* is whether the federal Hobbs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2342(1), requires that courts treat orders by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) interpreting the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C), as “invariably binding[.]”

[Read the full blog post here on \*Perkins on Privacy\*.](#)

## Authors



## **James G. Snell**

Partner

[JSnell@perkinscoie.com](mailto:JSnell@perkinscoie.com) [650.838.4367](tel:650.838.4367)



## **Tim Carter**

Associate

[TCarter@perkinscoie.com](mailto:TCarter@perkinscoie.com) [650.838.4786](tel:650.838.4786)



## **Jelani Shelton**

Not Yet Admitted

[JShelton@perkinscoie.com](mailto:JShelton@perkinscoie.com)

Blog series

## **Consumer Protection Review**

Consumer Protection Review helps businesses that market and sell to consumers navigate federal and state legal issues related to advertising, privacy, promotions, products liability, government investigations, unfair competition, class actions and general consumer protection.

[View the blog](#)