



The last few years, we've been reporting about the numerous challenges to California's board gender diversity statute (known as SB 826) and the board diversity statute regarding "underrepresented communities" (known as AB 979). As [we blogged](#) last year, the plaintiffs won two state court cases on state constitutional grounds - in *Crest v. Padilla I* and *II* - and the court issued injunctions so that those two statutes are not implemented at this time. Both cases are currently on appeal.

Now we have a development for a case filed in federal court - *Alliance for Fair Board Recruitment v. Weber* - in which the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California [granted](#) the plaintiff's motion for summary judgment recently, finding that AB 979 was unconstitutional on federal equal protection clause grounds.

Explore more in

[Corporate Law](#)

Blog series

Public Chatter

Public Chatter provides practical guidance—and the latest developments—to those grappling with public company securities law and corporate governance issues, through content developed from an in-house perspective.

[Subscribe ?](#)

[Visit Public Chatter Resources for Guides, Quick Alerts and Programs](#)

[View the blog](#)