



**The Journal of Robotics,
Artificial Intelligence & Law**

Editor's Note: The Future
Victoria Prussen Spears

Flying Cars: Are We Ready for Them?
Elaine D. Solomon

U.S. AI Regulation Guide: Legislative Overview and Practical Considerations
Yoon Chae

Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Can Regulation Catch Up with Innovation?
Alaap B. Shah

New York and New Jersey Make an Early Effort to Regulate Artificial Intelligence
Marc S. Martin, Charlyn L. Ho, and Michael A. Sherling

Artificial Intelligence and the Fair Housing Act: Algorithms Under Attack?
William T. Gordon, Katherine Kirkpatrick, and Katherine Mueller

Angel Investing Lessons: The First Mover Disadvantage
Paul A. Jones

The Convertible Debt Valuation Cap: The Trigger Financing Investor Perspective
Paul A. Jones

Big News for Small Mobility: Germany Opens Up to E-Scooters
Andreas Grünwald, Christoph Nüßing, and Theresa Oehm

Everything Is Not *Terminator*: AI Issues Raised by the California Consumer Privacy Act
John Frank Weaver

- 5 Editor’s Note: The Future**
Victoria Prussen Spears
- 9 Flying Cars: Are We Ready for Them?**
Elaine D. Solomon
- 17 U.S. AI Regulation Guide: Legislative Overview and Practical Considerations**
Yoon Chae
- 41 Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Can Regulation Catch Up with Innovation?**
Alaap B. Shah
- 47 New York and New Jersey Make an Early Effort to Regulate Artificial Intelligence**
Marc S. Martin, Charlyn L. Ho, and Michael A. Sherling
- 53 Artificial Intelligence and the Fair Housing Act: Algorithms Under Attack?**
William T. Gordon, Katherine Kirkpatrick, and Katherine Mueller
- 57 Angel Investing Lessons: The First Mover Disadvantage**
Paul A. Jones
- 63 The Convertible Debt Valuation Cap: The Trigger Financing Investor Perspective**
Paul A. Jones
- 69 Big News for Small Mobility: Germany Opens Up to E-Scooters**
Andreas Grünwald, Christoph Nüßing, and Theresa Oehm
- 73 Everything Is Not *Terminator*: AI Issues Raised by the California Consumer Privacy Act**
John Frank Weaver

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Steven A. Meyerowitz

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

Victoria Prussen Spears

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

Miranda Cole

Partner, Covington & Burling LLP

Kathryn DeBord

Partner & Chief Innovation Officer, Bryan Cave LLP

Melody Drummond Hansen

Partner, O'Melveny & Myers LLP

Paul B. Keller

Partner, Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP

Garry G. Mathiason

Shareholder, Littler Mendelson P.C.

Elaine D. Solomon

Partner, Blank Rome LLP

Linda J. Thayer

Partner, Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner LLP

Mercedes K. Tunstall

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

Edward J. Walters

Chief Executive Officer, Fastcase Inc.

John Frank Weaver

Attorney, McLane Middleton, Professional Association

THE JOURNAL OF ROBOTICS, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE & LAW (ISSN 2575-5633 (print) /ISSN 2575-5617 (online) at \$495.00 annually is published six times per year by Full Court Press, a Fastcase, Inc., imprint. Copyright 2020 Fastcase, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact Fastcase, Inc., 711 D St. NW, Suite 200, Washington, D.C. 20004, 202.999.4777 (phone), 202.521.3462 (fax), or email customer service at support@fastcase.com.

Publishing Staff

Publisher: Morgan Morrissette Wright

Journal Designer: Sharon D. Ray

Cover Art Design: Juan Bustamante

Cite this publication as:

The Journal of Robotics, Artificial Intelligence & Law (Fastcase)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Copyright © 2020 Full Court Press, an imprint of Fastcase, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

A Full Court Press, Fastcase, Inc., Publication

Editorial Office

711 D St. NW, Suite 200, Washington, D.C. 20004

<https://www.fastcase.com/>

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE JOURNAL OF ROBOTICS, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE & LAW, 711 D St. NW, Suite 200, Washington, D.C. 20004.

Articles and Submissions

Direct editorial inquiries and send material for publication to:

Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.,
26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@
meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300.

Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, corporate compliance officers, government agencies and their counsel, senior business executives, scientists, engineers, and anyone interested in the law governing artificial intelligence and robotics. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Morgan Morrisette Wright, Publisher, Full Court Press at mwright@fastcase.com
or at 202.999.4878

For questions or Sales and Customer Service:

Customer Service
Available 8am–8pm Eastern Time
866.773.2782 (phone)
support@fastcase.com (email)

Sales
202.999.4777 (phone)
sales@fastcase.com (email)
ISSN 2575-5633 (print)
ISSN 2575-5617 (online)

New York and New Jersey Make an Early Effort to Regulate Artificial Intelligence

Marc S. Martin, Charlyn L. Ho, and Michael A. Sherling*

In response to the rapid growth of artificial intelligence, governments are scrambling to regulate this new technology. New York and New Jersey have recently proposed bills to study and regulate artificial intelligence. The authors of this article discuss the proposed laws.

In recent years, the use of artificial intelligence (“AI”) solutions in every sphere of the economy has increased dramatically. In response to its rapid growth, governments are scrambling to regulate the new technology. Most recently, New York and New Jersey proposed bills to study and regulate AI. The New York bill establishes a committee to study and make recommendations on issues relating to AI, robotics, and automation; in contrast, the New Jersey bill imposes substantive obligations on businesses to assess and disclose risks relating to their use of AI decision-making and high-risk data processing.

Summary of New York’s Legislation

Under New York’s AI legislation,¹ a temporary state commission known as the New York State artificial intelligence, robotics, and automation commission would study and make recommendations on issues such as:

- (1) Current law within the state of New York addressing artificial intelligence, robotics, and automation;
- (2) Comparative state policies that have aided in the creation of regulatory structures for AI, robotics, and automation;
- (3) Criminal and civil liability regarding violations of law caused by entities utilizing AI, robotics, and automation;
- (4) The impact of AI, robotics, and automation on employment in New York;

- (5) The impact of AI, robotics, and automation acquiring confidential information and the necessary disclosures;
- (6) Potential restrictions on the use of AI, robotics, and automation in weaponry;
- (7) Potential impact on the technology industry by regulatory measures proposed by the commission; and
- (8) Public sector applications of AI and cognitive technologies.

The committee must issue a final report to the governor and other senior members of New York's Senate and Assembly no later than 30 days before the expiration of the Act on December 31, 2020.²

This proposed state commission follows a 2017 New York City law (Local Law 49), which established a task force to study "automated decision systems" that New York City utilizes to make decisions concerning rules, policies, or actions that affect the public. The New York City automated decision systems task force was responsible for producing a report that assessed whether the usage of automated decisions systems disproportionately affect persons based on protected status, for example, age, race, creed, color, and religion. However, almost 18 months after Local Law 49 went into effect, New York City officials reported that the task force could not reach consensus on what constitutes an "automated decision system," casting doubt on whether the task force will meet the deadline to issue a complete report of policy recommendations.

It remains to be seen whether either the New York City task force or the broader New York state proposal to create a commission on artificial intelligence, robotics, and automation will produce a report and recommendations, even if the latter is enacted this session.

Summary of New Jersey's AI Legislation

If passed into law, the current draft of the New Jersey Algorithmic Accountability Act³ ("NJAAA") would require businesses that meet revenue or data processing thresholds to conduct assessments designed to reduce the risks of using "high-risk" automated decision systems or "high-risk" information systems on New Jersey consumers.

Under the NJAAA, a system is deemed “high risk” if, among other things, it poses significant risk to privacy or security, systematically monitors or evaluates consumers or publicly accessible areas, or results in inaccurate, biased, or discriminatory decisions affecting consumers. More specifically, for systems that are deemed “high risk” under the NJAAA, covered entities will be required to do the following:

- (1) Conduct an automated decision system impact assessment, *i.e.*, a study evaluating an automated decision system, including its design and data used to train the automated decision, as well as its impact on accuracy, fairness, bias, discrimination, privacy and security;
- (2) Conduct a data protection impact assessment, *i.e.*, a study evaluating the extent to which an information system protects the privacy and security of personally identifiable information that the system processes;
- (3) Work with independent third parties, such as auditors and technology experts, in conducting the assessments described in (1) and (2);
- (4) Record any racial or other bias, or any threat to the security of a consumer’s personally identifiable information discovered through the assessments; and
- (5) Provide any other information that the Director of the Division of Consumer Affairs in the Department of Law and Public Safety requires.

The NJAAA applies only to “covered entities,” businesses that have one of the following characteristics:

- (1) Have greater than \$50,000,000 in average annual gross receipts for the three taxable-year period preceding the most recent fiscal year;
- (2) Possess or control personally identifiable information on more than 1,000,000 New Jersey consumers or 1,000,000 consumer computers or mobile telecommunications service devices; or
- (3) Are data brokers.

Although the NJAAA does not provide a private right of action, the Director of the Division of Consumer Affairs in the Department

of Law and Public Safety may bring a civil action against a covered entity if, after reviewing the assessments detailed above, it determines that interest of residents are threatened or adversely affected by a practice that violates the act.

The NJAAA has several legislative hurdles to pass before making its way to the governor's desk, so it is unclear if it will ever become law.

New York and New Jersey Are Not Outliers in Their Quest for AI Regulation

In addition to New York and New Jersey, other states and cities such as Illinois and San Francisco, as well as the federal government, are also considering legislation to regulate AI and mitigate its perceived risks. On April 10, 2019, federal lawmakers introduced the Algorithmic Accountability Act of 2019,⁴ which is sponsored by New Jersey Senator Cory Booker and Oregon Senator Ron Wyden. The bill is currently circulating with the House Energy and Commerce Committee. The Algorithmic Accountability Act largely mirrors the requirements of the NJAAA, presumably as a result of Senator Booker's sponsorship of the bill. Notably, the federal legislation gives rule-making authority to the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") and gives the FTC enforcement authority for violations of the act as an unfair or deceptive act or practice.

Interestingly, the proposed Algorithmic Accountability Act does not preempt state law. As a result, with various states, localities, and the federal government promulgating regulations regarding AI and other automated decision-making systems, businesses and consumers could potentially be subject to disparate and overlapping requirements.

Notes

* Marc S. Martin is a partner at Perkins Coie LLP and firmwide chair of the Communications Industry group, providing regulatory, transactional, and strategic advice to technology, media and telecom companies, entrepreneurs, investors, and enterprise customers. Charlyn L. Ho is counsel at the firm advising clients on complex legal issues related to technology and privacy. Michael A. Sherling is an associate at the firm focusing on technology transactions, communications, privacy, and data security law. The authors

may be reached at mmartin@perkinscoie.com, cho@perkinscoie.com, and msherling@perkinscoie.com, respectively.

1. <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/bills/2019/s3971>.
2. The bill passed the New York Senate on May 19, 2019, and the Assembly on June 3, 2019, and is awaiting signature by the governor.
3. <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/1127840>.
4. <https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/house-bill/2231/text>.