





Theory of Contracts

- An agreement between two or more parties that is enforceable under the law
- Purpose: To tie down the future (predictability)
- Involves a bargain or trade, a "meeting of the minds"

Parties and Purposes of Contract

- Sometimes it helps to break down the parties and the purpose of a contract.
- ▶ Let's see whether this helps ...





Types of Contracts – Express, Implied, Quasi

- Express contracts
 - Written contracts
 - Oral contracts
- Implied contracts Implied-in-fact
 - Not written or oral
 - ▶ By the actions of the parties e.g., visiting a vet
- Quasi-contracts Implied-in-law
 - Agreement between two or more parties with no prior obligation to each other
 - Imposed even if neither party originally intended there to be a contract
 - Were you unjustly enriched restitution
 - e.g., fixing a leak in a roof discovered later

Bilateral and Unilateral Contracts

- Bilateral contracts involve an exchange of mutual promises (or completed performance and a promise of future performance)
- Unilateral contracts are like a contest, with no promise of performance by the contestant

Types of Contracts as to Validity

- Valid contract
- Void contract
 - Not legally valid or enforceable at any point
 - e.g., Illegal, against public policy, minor, against regulation
- Voidable contract
 - Seemingly valid and legal, except and until ...
 - Can be performed but may be voided or may be cancelled
 - e.g., withheld information, misrepresentation, undue influence, mutual mistake, minor
- Unenforceable contract
 - Valid contract cannot be enforced in a court of law
 - e.g., capacity, duress/fraud, unconscionable/safety/unfair, mistake, public policy, also statute of limitations and laches (delay)



Elements of a Valid and Enforceable Contract

- Proper offer
- Proper acceptance
- Mutual consideration
- ▶ Absence of a valid defense to enforcement

Offer and Acceptance – Timing and Termination

- Recipient may reject offer or make a counter-offer
 - both actions terminate the offer
- An offer terminates after a "reasonable" period of time
- Offer terminates as a matter of law upon death or insanity of the parties or destruction of the subject matter (fire, theft, etc.)

Offer and Acceptance – Timing and Termination

- The party making the offer may revoke the offer, the revocation is effective upon communication to the other party
- Timing problems with acceptance/revocation
 - Offers and their revocation are effective when received by the second party
 - Acceptance is effective when it is made, even if notice of the acceptance not yet received by the party who made the offer being accepted
 - The "mailbox rule" and the risk of multiple acceptances
 - What happens when you reject after you accept?
 - ▶ Timing here is important

What is Consideration?

- Giving or agreeing to give something of value
- Performing or offering to perform something of detriment
- Examples of consideration:
 - Payment of money
 - Performing a service
 - Giving up ownership of something
 - Agreeing to forego anything that has the possibility of being valuable

What is Consideration?

- "Illusory" promises do not constitute consideration
 - Where only one side is required to perform
 - Unenforceable; may include deception; unclear language
 - Sell you everything I want to; May sell you an item for a specific price
- Consideration may go to a third party (e.g., "third-party beneficiary")
- A substitute for consideration: detrimental reliance/promissory estoppel

Defenses to an Otherwise Valid Contract

- Mutual Mistake of Fact
- Unilateral Mistake (not always a defense; reformation; recission)
- Fraud
- Illegality
- Lack of Capacity to Contract
- Duress or Coercion

Defenses to an Otherwise Valid Contract (Cont'd.)

Statute of Limitations

- ▶ Ten (10) years for written contracts
- ▶ Five (5) years for oral or implied contracts
- ▶ Special statue for design/construction in Illinois §13-214
 - ▶ Four (4) years from discovery of problem
 - ▶ Ten (10) years from date of act or omission

Unconscionability

- ► Terms so extremely unjust or so overwhelmingly one-sided in favor of the party with the superior bargain power (no warranty v. implied warranty of habitability)
- Contrary to good conscience
- Entire contract invalid; partial; enforceable/modify

Assignment of Rights and Delegation of Duties

- Assignment: Giving a contract right or duty to another party and being disconnected from the transaction
- Delegation: Same as an assignment, except that the "giver" stays involved in the transaction
- What can be assigned or delegated?
 - Personal duties usually cannot be assigned/delegated
 - General/generic duties usually can be assigned/delegated



Goal: To Effectuate the Intent of the Parties

- ▶ The "trier of fact" looks to determine the actual bargain
- The court or arbitrator will not rewrite the contract

First Level of Analysis – The Objective Language of the Contract

- Clear and unambiguous language is always enforced as written
- No explanations or clarifications of the language is permitted
- Why this process of analysis? To resolve conflicts; To confirm the deal

Express and Implied Terms

- Express terms are spelled out either in writing or orally
- Implied terms are part of the agreement but not discussed or communicated
- Some commonly implied terms in construction contracts:
 - Neither party will hinder the other from performing the contract
 - Construction will be performed in a good and workmanlike manner
 - An architect will perform his duties in accordance with the appropriate standard of care

