



In a commentary for Bloomberg Law, Rachel Mechanic and Daniel Zinman explain how FINRA's in-house tribunal system is defending constitutional challenges and may also have to navigate repercussions from a Supreme Court securities case.

When the US Supreme Court heard [arguments](#) in [Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jarkesy](#) last month, the justices' questions suggested the court would likely declare the SEC's in-house tribunal at least partially unconstitutional.

[Read the full article in Bloomberg Law](#)

**Authors**



## **Rachel S. Mechanic**

Partner

[RMechanic@perkinscoie.com](mailto:RMechanic@perkinscoie.com) [212.261.6811](tel:212.261.6811)



## **Daniel C. Zinman**

Partner

[DZinman@perkinscoie.com](mailto:DZinman@perkinscoie.com) [212.261.6856](tel:212.261.6856)

## **Explore more in**

[Business Litigation](#) [White Collar & Investigations](#) [Securities Litigation](#) [Financial Services & Investments](#)