



Purpose of Licensing Architects

- Public interest to protect the public from incompetent design
- Business interest to regulate competition

Illinois Department of Financial & Professional Regulation (IDFPR)

- Administrative agency over the profession
- Regulations still has the force of law
- More specific than legislature

Sanctions for Violation of License Statutes

- > Fines or penalties
- Denial of license upon subsequent application
- Inability to sue for fees or forfeiture of all fees
 - Issues/cases arise mostly in this area
 - > Should the client avoid paying for fees simply because of no license?
 - > Sole proprietor individual architect example



Types of Licensing Statutes

- "Holding Out" Statutes
 - > Simply requires the design professional to use a particular label
 - Does not regulate the work
 - Allows the public to know a licensed architect is competent, but nothing for the unlicensed
- "Practice" Statutes
 - Prohibits practice without a license
 - > But what then is the definition of architectural services?
 - You simply cannot contract with an unlicensed architect (fees +/-)
- Illinois has a hybrid statue (both are required)
- Who should be able to decide about contracting parties?



Practicing Architecture without a Licensing

- Under a licenses architect's supervision
 - Content/participation versus the "fly-by" review
- Reciprocity for out-of-state architect
 - > National Council of the Architectural Registration Boards (NCARB)
 - > The rules and regulations still vary from state to state, and what is actually recognized
 - "Hot" versus "Cold" states

Questions re: Licensing and Registration

- If an architect can pass a competency exam (i.e., the AREs), why should the degree or experience matter?
- Does having a license prevent incompetence?
- What about licensing interior architects, landscape architects, contractors for design?
- What about insurance for licensed v. unlicensed architect?
- Why prohibit the recovery of fees for "practicing" without a license, especially if licensed elsewhere?



Principal, Agent, and Third Party

- > Agent a person acting on behalf of another
 - Principal is the party for whom the agent is acting
 - > The third party is the person with whom the agent is interacting on behalf of the principal

Examples of Common Agency Relationships

- > Employer/employee
- Actor or athlete/agent
- Real estate broker/property owner
- Owner/architect



Purpose and Theory - Why have an agent?

- > Agents may have greater expertise than their principals
- Principals may need to delegate tasks
- Certain legal entities can only operate through agents

Effects of an Agency Relationship

- The agent may bind the principal to a contract
- Knowledge of the agent is attributed to the principal even if the principal does not have such knowledge
- The agent's acts (and omissions) are attributable to the principal

The Agent's Duties to the Principal (Fiduciary Duties)

- Loyalty
- Care (not being negligent)
- Obedience
- Notification (forwarding information)



Source of the Agent's Authority

- Actual authority
 - > Explicitly granted communication from principal to agent
 - > Written, oral, or implied
- Apparent authority
 - > Authority the principal leads third parties to believe is granted to agent
 - > Must be principal who creates no the agent
- Ratification by the principal
 - Not all actions by agent are binding on principal
 - > Principal may adopt/accept/ratify an act after-the-fact even if unauthorized
 - May be express or implied

Undisclosed principal

- An agent pretends to be acting on her own behalf, but really acting on behalf of an undisclosed principal
- An agent indicates there is a principal but fails/refuses to provide the identity of the principal
 - > Third party may have a claim against both the agent and the principal
 - > Third party has right to know with whom it is dealing



Termination of the Agency Relationship

- Express or implied termination
- At the end of a given period of time, or when the purpose has been accomplished
- By principal at any time (but may be a breach if agency relationship results from a contract and specific period)
- The principal should advise all third parties of the termination (to avoid apparent authority)





SOLE PROPRIETORSHIPS

Any individual who conducts business

No legal distinction between the business and the individual

- Income treated as personal income
- No special liability protections

May use an assumed name (d/b/a)

May have employees

> Employees can be agents within scope of their employment



PARTNERSHIPS

Similar to a sole proprietorship owned jointly by two or more people - combined into one partnership

Governed by state law

- Uniform Partnership Act (default agreements)
- Court created law
- Partnership agreement (contract between partners)

Debts and Taxes

- Individual partner are responsible for the debts of the entire partnership.
- Profits and losses are taxed pro rata to the partners' interests

PARTNERSHIPS (cont.)

Ownership division between partners

- Rights and duties may be divided in any agreed percentage
- One partner may have 75% of the profits and only 33% of the losses
- Partners are not guaranteed a salary; they share profits

Each partner is the agent of the others and of the partnership

- Full authority to act or bind the partnership
- All knowledge fully attributable to the partnership
- Partnership duties are similar to agency duties, but operate in a two-way direction

PARTNERSHIPS (cont.)

Effect of a partnership

- Income is treated as personal income
- No special liability protections

"Limited" partnership distinguished

- Primarily a financing mechanism
- Role of general partner same as with a regular partnership
- Role of "limited" partners
 - No authority to manage or bind the partnership (not an agent)
 - > Only liable to the extent of individual financial contribution

CORPORATIONS

Corporations are legal entities – just like people

Governed by state law

- Illinois Business Corporation Act
- Articles of Incorporation, by-laws
- Shareholder agreements in close corporations

Rights of the owners (shareholders) of the corporation

- Voting for directors
- Receiving dividends
- Liquidation rights upon corporate dissolution



CORPORATIONS (cont.)

The Corporate Hierarchy

- Shareholders (not agents)
- Directors (agents and owe fiduciary duties)
- Officers (agents and owe fiduciary duties)
- > Employees (limited agents depends on the scope of employment



CORPORATIONS (cont.)

No personal liability for corporate malfeasance

- Shareholders, officers, directors, and employees are not liable for corporate acts
- Complex, restrictive tax, and accounting treatments (double taxation of dividends)
- Piercing the corporate veil if sham, shell corp., not true

Professional corporations

- Set up to practice a particular licensed profession (law, architecture, etc.)
- Laws vary from state to state
- Generally obsolete in Illinois

LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES (LLC)

A hybrid between a corporation and a partnership

- A separate legal entity, like a corporation
- Tax and accounting treatment of a partnership
- Liability protections of a corporation

Also governed by state law

- Illinois Limited Liability Company Act
- Not as much court-created law as traditional corporation law
- Operating Agreement acts like corporate by-laws



LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES ("LLC") (cont.)

Hierarchy similar to a corporation

- "Members" are owners/unit holders (like shareholders)
- "Managers" are like officers and directors
- May have employees just like any corporation

LLCs are usually the best vehicle for complex organizations

- Often seen as single-purpose entities in the construction world
- Good for joining unique business partners



SUMMARY OF RISKS

Type of Entity	Flexible Taxation and Accounting	Liability Shield (Separate Legal Entity)
Sole Proprietorship	\checkmark	
Partnership	\checkmark	
Corporation		✓
LLC	✓	✓

